NGC 21-04

2

1

3

4

5

67

8

9

10

11

1213

14

15

16 17

19

18

2021

2223

24

2526

27

28



STATE OF NEVADA

BEFORE THE NEVADA GAMING COMMISSION

NEVADA GAMING CONTROL BOARD,

Complainant,

VS.

STATION CASINOS LLC; NP RED ROCK LLC, dba RED ROCK CASINO RESORT SPA,

Respondents.

COMPLAINT

The State of Nevada, on relation of its NEVADA GAMING CONTROL BOARD (BOARD), Complainant herein, by and through its counsel, AARON D. FORD, Attorney General, and JOHN S. MICHELA, Senior Deputy Attorney General, hereby files this Complaint before the Nevada Gaming Commission (Commission) for disciplinary action against STATION CASINOS LLC and NP RED ROCK LLC, dba RED ROCK CASINO RESORT SPA, herein, pursuant to Nevada Revised Statute (NRS) 463.310(2), and alleges as follows:

JURISDICTION

- 1. Complainant, BOARD, is an administrative agency of the State of Nevada duly organized and existing under and by virtue of Chapter 463 of NRS and is charged with the administration and enforcement of the gaming laws of this State as set forth in Title 41 of NRS (Nevada Gaming Control Act) and the Regulations of the Commission.
- 2. RESPONDENT, STATION CASINOS LLC (STATION), located at 1505 South Pavilion Center Drive, Las Vegas, Nevada currently holds manufacturer and distributor licenses, and, as such, is charged with the responsibility of complying with all of the provisions of the Nevada Gaming Control Act and the Regulations of the Commission.

RESPONDENT, NP RED ROCK LLC, dba RED ROCK CASINO RESORT 3. 1 SPA (RED ROCK), located at 11011 West Charleston Boulevard, Las Vegas, Nevada 2 currently holds a nonrestricted gaming license, and, as such, is charged with the 3 responsibility of complying with all of the provisions of the Nevada Gaming Control Act 4 5 and the Regulations of the Commission. STATION is the sole member and manager of RED 6 ROCK. RELEVANT LAW 7 The Nevada Legislature set forth the importance of the gaming industry to 8 4. the State of Nevada and its responsibility to the State's inhabitants in NRS 463.0129, 9 10 which provides in relevant part as follows: 1. The Legislature hereby finds, and declares to be the 11 public policy of this state, that: (a) The gaming industry is vitally important to the 12 economy of the State and the general welfare of the inhabitants. (b) The continued growth and success of gaming is 13 dependent upon public confidence and trust . . . that establishments which hold restricted and nonrestricted licenses 14 where gaming is conducted and where gambling devices are operated do not unduly impact the quality of life enjoyed by 15 residents of the surrounding neighborhoods. (c) Public confidence and trust can only be maintained by 16 strict regulation of all persons, locations, practices, associations and activities related to the operation of licensed gaming 17 establishments (d) All establishments where gaming is conducted and 18 where gaming devices are operated . . . must therefore be licensed, controlled and assisted to protect the public health, 19 safety, morals, good order and general welfare of the inhabitants of the State, to foster the stability and success of gaming and to 20 preserve the competitive economy and policies of free competition of the State of Nevada. 21 (e) To ensure that gaming is conducted honestly, competitively and free of criminal and corruptive elements, all 22 gaming establishments in this state must remain open to the general public and the access of the general public to gaming 23

general public and the access of the general public to gaming activities must not be restricted in any manner except as provided by the Legislature.

2. No applicant for a license or other affirmative Commission or Board approval has any right to a license or the granting of the approval sought. Any license issued or other Commission or Board approval granted pursuant to the provisions of this chapter or chapter 464 of NRS is a revocable

privilege, and no holder acquires any vested right therein or

thereunder.

NRS 463.0129(1).

24

25

26

27

- 5. To ensure proper oversight and control over the gaming industry, the Nevada Legislature has granted the Commission "full and absolute power and authority to . . . limit, condition, restrict, revoke or suspend any license . . . or fine any person licensed . . . for any cause deemed reasonable by the Commission." NRS 463.1405(4).
- 6. The BOARD is authorized to observe the conduct of licensees in order to ensure that gaming operations are not being operated in an unsuitable manner or by an unqualified or unsuitable person. NRS 463.1405(1) and Nev. Gaming Comm'n Reg. 5.040.
 - 7. NRS 463.170 provides in relevant part as follows:
 - 1. Any person who the Commission determines is qualified to receive a license, to be found suitable or to receive any approval required under the provisions of this chapter, or to be found suitable regarding the operation of a charitable lottery under the provisions of chapter 462 of NRS, having due consideration for the proper protection of the health, safety, morals, good order and general welfare of the inhabitants of the State of Nevada and the declared policy of this State, may be issued a state gaming license, be found suitable or receive any approval required by this chapter, as appropriate. The burden of proving an applicant's qualification to receive any license, be found suitable or receive any approval required by this chapter is on the applicant.
 - 2. An application to receive a license or be found suitable must not be granted unless the Commission is satisfied that the applicant is:
 - (a) A person of good character, honesty and integrity;
 - (b) A person whose prior activities, criminal record, if any, reputation, habits and associations do not pose a threat to the public interest of this State or to the effective regulation and control of gaming or charitable lotteries, or create or enhance the dangers of unsuitable, unfair or illegal practices, methods and activities in the conduct of gaming or charitable lotteries or in the carrying on of the business and financial arrangements incidental thereto; and
 - (c) In all other respects qualified to be licensed or found suitable consistently with the declared policy of the State.
 - 3. A license to operate a gaming establishment or an inter-casino linked system must not be granted unless the applicant has satisfied the Commission that:
 - (a) The applicant has adequate business probity, competence and experience, in gaming or generally; and
 - (b) The proposed financing of the entire operation is:
 - (1) Adequate for the nature of the proposed operation; and
 - (2) From a suitable source.
 - Any lender or other source of money or credit which the Commission finds does not meet the standards set forth in subsection 2 may be deemed unsuitable.

4. An application to receive a license or be found suitable constitutes a request for a determination of the applicant's general character, integrity, and ability to participate or engage in, or be associated with gaming or the operation of a charitable lottery, as appropriate. Any written or oral statement made in the course of an official proceeding of the Board or Commission by any member thereof or any witness testifying under oath which is relevant to the purpose of the proceeding is absolutely privileged and does not impose liability for defamation or constitute a ground for recovery in any civil action.

8. Any person granted a license or found suitable by the Commission shall continue to meet the applicable standards and qualifications set forth in this section and any other qualifications established by the Commission by regulation. The failure to continue to meet such standards and qualifications constitutes grounds for disciplinary action.

NRS 463.170(1)-(4) and (8).

8. NRS 463.615 provides the following:

> If any corporation, partnership, limited partnership. limited-liability company or other business organization holding a license or if any holding company or intermediary company with respect thereto, does not comply with the laws of this state and the regulations of the Commission, the Commission may, in its discretion, do any one, all or a combination of the following:

> 1. Revoke, limit, condition or suspend the license of the corporation, partnership, limited partnership, limited-liability

company or other business organization; or

2. Fine the persons involved, or the corporation, partnership, limited partnership, limited-liability company or other business organization holding a license or such holding company or intermediary company,
in accordance with the laws of this state and the regulations of

the Commission.

NRS 463.615.

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

9. Nevada Gaming Commission Regulation 3.080 provides as follows:

The Commission may deny, revoke, suspend, limit, condition, or restrict any registration or finding of suitability or application therefor upon the same grounds as it may take such action with respect to licenses, licensees and licensing; without exclusion of any other grounds. The Commission may take such action on the grounds that the registrant or person found suitable is associated with, or controls, or is controlled by, or is under common control with, an unsuitable person.

Nev. Gaming Comm'n Reg. 3.080.

10. The burden of proving a licensee's qualifications to continue to hold a license rests at all times on the licensee. Nev. Gaming Comm'n Reg. 5.040.

11. Nevada Gaming Commission Regulation 5.010(1) states that "[i]t is the policy of the Commission and the Board to require that all establishments wherein gaming is conducted in this state be operated in a manner suitable to protect the public health, safety, morals, good order and general welfare of the inhabitants of the State of Nevada."

12. Nevada Gaming Commission Regulation 5.010(2) states that "[r]esponsibility for the employment and maintenance of suitable methods of operation rests with the licensee, and willful or persistent use or toleration of methods of operation deemed unsuitable will constitute grounds for license revocation or other disciplinary action." (Emphasis added).

13. Nevada Gaming Commission Regulation 5.011 states, in relevant part, as follows:

1. The Board and the Commission deem any activity on the part of a licensee, registrant, or person found suitable by the Commission, or an agent or employee thereof, that is inimical to the public health, safety, morals, good order, or general welfare of the people of the State of Nevada, or that would reflect or tend to reflect discredit upon the State of Nevada or the gaming industry, to be an unsuitable method of operation and shall be grounds for disciplinary action by the Board and the Commission in accordance with the Nevada Gaming Control Act and the regulations of the Commission. The following acts or omissions, without limitation, may be determined to be unsuitable methods of operation:

(a) Failure to exercise discretion and sound judgment to prevent incidents which might reflect on the repute of the State of Nevada and act as a detriment to the development of the industry.

Failure to comply with a

(h) Failure to comply with or make provision for compliance with all federal, state, or local laws and regulations and with all conditions and limitations approved by the Commission relating to the operations of a licensed gaming establishment or other gaming business

(k) Failure to conduct gaming operations in accordance with proper standards of custom, decorum, and decency, or

permit a type of conduct in a gaming establishment that reflects or tends to reflect on the repute of the State of Nevada and act as a detriment to the gaming industry.

. . . .

2. The Commission, in the exercise of its sound discretion, may make its own determination as to whether or not a licensed gaming establishment or other gaming business has failed to comply with a law or regulation described in paragraph (h) of subsection 1, but any such determination shall make use of established precedents when interpreting the applicable statute. Nothing in this section affects the right of a licensee to judicial review.

Nev. Gaming Comm'n Reg. 5.011(1)(a), (h), and (k), and (2).

14. Nevada Gaming Commission Regulation 6.090 states in relevant part:

As used in this section, "licensee" means a Group I licensee and "Chair" means the Chair or other member of the Nevada Gaming Control Board.

. . . .

- 3. The Chair shall adopt and publish minimum standards for internal control procedures that in the Chair's opinion satisfy subsection 1. At least 45 days prior to adopting or revising minimum standards, the Chair shall:
- (a) Publish notice of the proposed action by posting the

proposed change or revision on the Board's website;

- (b) Mail notice of the posting of the proposed minimum standards or revision on the Board's website and a copy of this section of Regulation 6 to every Group I licensee and every person who has filed a request therefor with the Commission; and
- (c) Provide a copy of the proposed minimum standards or revision to the Commission.
- 4. Prior to adopting or revising the minimum standards, the Chair shall consider all written statements, arguments, or contentions submitted by interested parties within 30 days of service of the notice provided for in subsection 3.
- 5. The Chair shall send written notice that he or she has adopted standards pursuant to subsection 3 to all Group I licensees and to every person who has filed a request therefor with the Commission.
- 6. Not later than 30 days after service of written notice that the Chair has adopted or revised the minimum standards, any Group I licensee may object to the minimum standards or revisions by filing a written objection with the Commission. If a licensee files an objection, the effective date of the standards or revisions is stayed. The Commission may, on its own initiative, review the minimum standards or revisions adopted by the Chair and may stay the effective date of the standards or revisions. If no objections are filed within 30 days, or the Commission does not stay the effective date in order to review the minimum

standards or revisions, the minimum standards or revisions shall become effective. If objections to particular portions of the minimum standards or revisions are filed, the portions of the minimum standards or revisions not objected to shall become effective upon expiration of the 30 days. If the Commission fails to sustain an objection within 60 days of its filing, the objection will be deemed denied and the minimum standards shall become effective upon expiration of the 60 days. If the Commission sustains the objection, the Chair shall revise the minimum standards to reflect the order of the Commission. The Chair shall send written notice of the effective date of the standards to all Group I licensees and every person who has filed a request therefor with the Commission.

7. Not later than 30 days after service of written notice that the minimum standards adopted or revised pursuant to this section are effective, each licensee whose procedures are affected by the minimum standards or revisions shall amend its written system, submit a copy of the written system as amended to the Board, and comply with the standards and system as amended. The Chair, in the Chair's sole and absolute discretion, may

extend the time for complying with this subsection.

8. The licensee may not implement a system of internal control procedures that does not satisfy the minimum standards unless the Chair, in the Chair's sole discretion, determines that the licensee's proposed system satisfies subsection 1, and approves the system in writing. Within 30 days after a licensee receives notice of the Chair's approval of procedures that satisfy the requirements of subsection 1, but that do not satisfy the minimum standards, the licensee shall comply with the approved procedures, amend its written system accordingly, and submit to the Board a copy of the written system as amended and a written description of the variations signed by the licensee's chief financial officer and either the licensee's chief executive officer or a licensed owner.

Nev. Gaming Comm'n Reg. 6.090(3), (4), (5), (6), (7), and (8).

- 15. Minimum Internal Control Standard Race and Sports #20 (MICS #20) provides: "Tickets will not be written or voided after the outcome of an event is known."
 - 16. Nevada Gaming Commission Regulation 5.030 provides as follows:

Violation of any provision of the Nevada Gaming Control Act or of these regulations by a licensee, the licensee's agent or employee shall be deemed contrary to the public health, safety, morals, good order and general welfare of the inhabitants of the State of Nevada and grounds for suspension or revocation of a license. Acceptance of a state gaming license or renewal thereof by a licensee constitutes an agreement on the part of the licensee to be bound by all of the regulations of the Commission as the same now are or may hereafter be amended or promulgated. It is the responsibility of the licensee to keep informed of the content of all such regulations, and ignorance thereof will not excuse violations.

Nev. Gaming Comm'n Reg. 5.030.

- 20. On or about January 9, 2019, STATION'S mobile sports wagering application (app), with servers located at RED ROCK, accepted money and wrote tickets for approximately 116 ostensible sports wagers on events after the outcomes had been determined or after the time at which the events should have been closed. It appears this occurred because of computer errors related to insufficient server memory for the Stadium Live program. A STATION'S employee confirmed that these computer errors, essentially a server failure, were 100 percent avoidable. The Board issued a regulation violation letter to RED ROCK on March 7, 2019, concerning the ostensible sports wagers.
- 21. On or about March 7, 2019, RED ROCK accepted money and wrote tickets for approximately thirty (30) ostensible sports wagers on at least three (3) events after the outcome of the events had already been determined. It appears this occurred because of computer errors related to communication issues between the servers and the Stadium Live program. The BOARD did communicate with STATION and RED ROCK employees concerning this instance but declined to send another regulation violation letter. The BOARD did include this instance in a subsequent Order to Show Cause (OSC).
- 22. On or about August 29, 2019, the BOARD caused an OSC to be served on RESPONDENTS. The OSC required RESPONDENTS to show cause why a complaint should not be filed against RESPONDENTS for, among some other items, continuing to have issues with the acceptance of ostensible sports wagers after the outcomes of the events had already been determined based on computer errors related to the Stadium Live program.
- 23. On or about September 27, 2019, the BOARD received a response to the OSC from RESPONDENTS. On or about October 23, 2019, RESPONDENTS submitted a supplemental response.
- 24. After review of the response and supplemental response, on or about December 2, 2019, the BOARD sent a letter to RESPONDENTS specifically stating, among two other items, STATION needed to "have redundant monitoring processes to ensure it does not accept money or its equivalent ostensibly as a wager upon an event whose outcome

2

3

4

has already been determined, including for wagering events administered by third party wagering programs . . ." in order for the BOARD to be comfortable in not pursuing further discipline at that time.

VIOLATION OF NEVADA REVISED STATUTE 463.170 **NEVADA GAMING COMMISSION REGULATION 5.011** ND/OR NEVADA GAMING COMMISSION REGULATION 5.010 NEVADA GAMING COMMISSION REGULATION 6.090 AND MINIMUM INTERNAL CONTROL STANDARD RACE AND SPORTS #20

- 25. The BOARD realleges and incorporates the above paragraphs by reference as though set forth in full herein.
- On or about March 18, 2021, RED ROCK reported a malfunction of its 26. Stadium Live sports wagering system to the BOARD.
- 27. RED ROCK stated this malfunction caused RED ROCK to write approximately 167 sports wagering tickets as ostensible wagers on events whose outcomes had already been determined.
- 28. RED ROCK accepted money for the above-referenced approximately 167 sports wagering tickets.
 - RED ROCK took action to refund and, effectively, void these tickets. 29.
- 30. RED ROCK stated this malfunction was caused by insufficient server memory for the Stadium Live program.
- As set out above, RESPONDENTS were well aware of prior computer errors 31. related to the Stadium Live program, specifically including insufficient server memory.
- 32. RESPONDENTS are responsible for any violations related to the Stadium Live program. Toleration of such repeated violations constitutes grounds for license revocation or other disciplinary action pursuant to Nevada Gaming Commission Regulation 5.010(2).

- 33. Each separate occasion when RESPONDENTS violated MICS #20 and Nevada Gaming Commission Regulation 6.090 constitutes a separate and additional violation of the Gaming Control Act and regulations of the Commission, as herein specified, for purposes of NRS 463.310(4)(d).
- 34. RESPONDENTS' actions as set out above are a violation of Nevada Revised Statute 463.170 and/or Nevada Gaming Commission Regulations 5.010 and/or 5.011 and/or 6.090. This constitutes an unsuitable method of operation, and, as such, is grounds for disciplinary action. See Nev. Gaming Comm'n Regs. 5.010(2), 5.011 and 5.030.

<u>COUNT TWO</u> <u>VIOLATION OF NEVADA GAMING COMMISSION REGULATIONS</u> 5.010 and/or 5.011

- 35. The BOARD realleges and incorporates the above paragraphs by reference as though set forth in full herein.
- 36. Between May 31, 2018, and May 19, 2021, RESPONDENTS accepted approximately 348 ostensible wagers upon events whose outcomes had already been determined.
- 37. RESPONDENTS have not maintained sufficient control and monitoring processes concerning their mobile sports wagering application to prevent incidents which reflect or tend to reflect poorly on the reputation of gaming in the State of Nevada and/or act as a detriment to the development of the gaming industry and/or reflect or tend to reflect discredit upon the State of Nevada or the gaming industry.
- 38. The BOARD specifically brought to RESPONDENTS' attention the fact that RESPONDENTS needed to "have redundant monitoring processes to ensure [they do] not accept money or its equivalent ostensibly as a wager upon an event whose outcome has already been determined, including for wagering events administered by third party wagering programs" RESPONDENTS' failure to ensure these processes were in place and functioned to prevent the acceptance of ostensible wagers for events whose outcome had already been determined reflects or tends to reflect poorly on the reputation of gaming

in Nevada and/or acts as a detriment to the development of the gaming industry and/or reflects or tends to reflect discredit upon the State of Nevada or the gaming industry.

- 39. RESPONDENTS' failure to prevent their acceptance of the approximately 348 ostensible wagers forces the BOARD and Nevada Gaming Commission to control RESPONDENTS with respect to protecting gaming in Nevada, protecting the welfare of the gaming industry, and protecting the welfare of the inhabitants of the State of Nevada.
- 40. RESPONDENTS have had multiple opportunities to ensure their mobile sports wagering application operates in compliance with the Gaming Control Act. However, RESPONDENTS have failed to do so. This failure has repeatedly caused RESPONDENTS to accept ostensible wagers from patrons on events whose outcome had already been determined.
- 41. RESPONDENTS are responsible for any violations related to the Stadium Live program. Toleration of such repeated violations constitutes grounds for license revocation or other disciplinary action pursuant to Nevada Gaming Commission Regulation 5.010(2).
- 42. RESPONDENTS' acts and failures to act as set out above are a violation of Nevada Gaming Commission Regulations 5.010 and/or 5.011 (1) and (10). This constitutes an unsuitable method of operation, and, as such, is grounds for disciplinary action. See Nev. Gaming Comm'n Regs. 5.010(2), 5.011 and 5.030.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, based upon the allegations contained herein, which constitute reasonable cause for disciplinary action against RESPONDENTS, pursuant to NRS 463.310 and/or NGC Regulations 5.010, 5.011, and/or 5.030, the Board prays for relief as follows:

1. That the Commission serve a copy of this Complaint on RESPONDENTS pursuant to NRS 463.312(2);

27 ||...

28 || . . .

1	2. That the Commission fine RE	SPONDENTS a monetary sum pursuant to the
2	parameters defined at NRS 463.310(4) for each separate violation of the provisions of the	
3	Nevada Gaming Control Act or the Regulations of the Commission;	
4	3. That the Commission take act	ion against RESPONDENTS' licenses pursuant
5	to the parameters defined in NRS 463.310(4); and	
6	4. For such other and further a	relief as the Commission may deem just and
7	proper.	
8	DATED this <u>13th</u> day of Sep	otember, 2021.
9		NEVADA GAMING CONTROL BOARD
10		A. Briv Fiber
11		J. BRIN GIBSON, Chairman
12		Pllp Gliter
13		PHILIP KATSAROS, Member
14		Disting 3Vattins
15		BRITTNIE WATKINS, Member
16	Submitted by:	
17	AARON D. FORD Attorney General	
18		
19	By: JOHN S. MICHELA	
20	Senior Deputy Attorney General Gaming Division	
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		