NGC 18-15

JAN 2 5 2019

CARSON CITY, NEVADA

4 ||

4

1

2

3

5

6 7

8

9

1011

12

13 14

16

15

17 18

19

20

 $\frac{21}{22}$

 $\frac{23}{24}$

25

26

27

28

STATE OF NEVADA

BEFORE THE NEVADA GAMING COMMISSION

NEVADA GAMING CONTROL BOARD,

Complainant,

vs.

WYNN LAS VEGAS, LLC dba WYNN LAS VEGAS; WYNN RESORTS, LIMITED (PTC)

Respondents.

COMPLAINT

The State of Nevada, on relation of its NEVADA GAMING CONTROL BOARD (BOARD), Complainant herein, by and through its counsel, AARON D. FORD, Attorney General, by JOHN S. MICHELA, Senior Deputy Attorney General, MICHAEL P. SOMPS, Senior Deputy Attorney General, and EDWARD L. MAGAW, Deputy Attorney General, hereby files this Complaint for disciplinary action against WYNN LAS VEGAS, LLC, dba, WYNN LAS VEGAS (WYNN) and WYNN RESORTS, LIMITED (PTC) (RESORTS) (collectively RESPONDENTS), pursuant to Nevada Revised Statute (NRS) 463.310(2), and alleges as follows:

JURISDICTION

- 1. Complainant, BOARD, is an administrative agency of the State of Nevada duly organized and existing under and by virtue of Chapter 463 of the NRS and is charged with the administration and enforcement of the gaming laws of this state as set forth in Title 41 of the NRS and the Regulations of the Nevada Gaming Commission.
- 2. WYNN, located at 3131 Las Vegas Boulevard, Las Vegas, Nevada, is organized under the laws of Nevada and holds a nonrestricted gaming license, manufacturer license, and distributor license. WYNN activated these licenses on or about April 28, 2005.

3. RESORTS, located at 3131 Las Vegas Boulevard, Las Vegas, Nevada, is registered as a publicly traded company by the Nevada Gaming Commission and, through wholly owned intermediaries and holding companies, is the owner of WYNN. RESORTS activated its approvals with regard to WYNN on or about April 28, 2005.

RELEVANT LAW

- 4. The Nevada Legislature has declared under NRS 463.0129(1) that:
 - (a) The gaming industry is vitally important to the economy of the State and the general welfare of the inhabitants.
 - (b) The continued growth and success of gaming is dependent upon public confidence and trust that licensed gaming and the manufacture, sale and distribution of gaming devices and associated equipment are conducted honestly and competitively, that establishments which hold restricted and nonrestricted licenses where gaming is conducted and where gambling devices are operated do not unduly impact the quality of life enjoyed by residents of the surrounding neighborhoods, that the rights of the creditors of licensees are protected and that gaming is free from criminal and corruptive elements.
 - (c) Public confidence and trust can only be maintained by strict regulation of all persons, locations, practices, associations and activities related to the operation of licensed gaming establishments, the manufacture, sale or distribution of gaming devices and associated equipment and the operation of intercasino linked systems.

NRS 463.0129(1)(a), (b) and (c).

- 5. The Nevada Gaming Commission has full and absolute power and authority to limit, condition, restrict, revoke or suspend any license, or fine any person licensed, for any cause deemed reasonable. *See* NRS 463.1405(4).
- 6. The BOARD is authorized to observe the conduct of licensees in order to ensure that the gaming operations are not being conducted in an unsuitable manner. See NRS 463.1405(1).
- 7. This continuing obligation is repeated in Nevada Gaming Commission Regulation 5.040, which provides as follows:

A gaming license is a revocable privilege, and no holder thereof shall be deemed to have acquired any vested rights therein or thereunder. The burden of proving his or her qualifications to hold any license rests at all times on the licensee. The Board is charged by law with the duty of observing the conduct of all

3

4

56

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

1415

16

17

18

19

20

2122

23

 $\frac{24}{25}$

26

27

28

licensees to the end that licenses shall not be held by unqualified or disqualified persons or unsuitable persons or persons whose operations are conducted in an unsuitable manner.

Nev. Gaming Comm'n Reg. 5.040.

8. Nevada Revised Statute 463.641 provides as follows:

If any corporation, partnership, limited partnership, limited-liability company or other business organization holding a license is owned or controlled by a publicly traded corporation subject to the provisions of this chapter, or that publicly traded corporation, does not comply with the laws of this state and the regulations of the Commission, the Commission may in its discretion do any one, all or a combination of the following:

- 1. Revoke, limit, condition or suspend the license of the licensee; or
- 2. Fine the persons involved, the licensee or the publicly traded corporation, in accordance with the laws of this state and the regulations of the Commission.

NRS 463.641.

9. Nevada Gaming Commission Regulation 3.080 provides as follows:

The Commission may deny, revoke, suspend, limit, condition, or restrict any registration or finding of suitability or application therefor upon the same grounds as it may take such action with respect to licenses, licensees and licensing; without exclusion of any other grounds. The Commission may take such action on the grounds that the registrant or person found suitable is associated with, or controls, or is controlled by, or is under common control with, an unsuitable person.

Nev. Gaming Comm'n Reg. 3.080.

10. Nevada Revised Statute 463.170 provides as follows:

1. Any person who the Commission determines is qualified to receive a license, to be found suitable or to receive any approval required under the provisions of this chapter, or to be found suitable regarding the operation of a charitable lottery under the provisions of chapter 462 of NRS, having due consideration for the proper protection of the health, safety, morals, good order and general welfare of the inhabitants of the State of Nevada and the declared policy of this State, may be issued a state gaming license, be found suitable or receive any approval required by this chapter, as appropriate. The burden of proving an applicant's qualification to receive any license, be found suitable

or receive any approval required by this chapter is on the 1 2. An application to receive a license or be found suitable must 2 not be granted unless the Commission is satisfied that the applicant is: 3 (a) A person of good character, honesty and integrity; (b) A person whose prior activities, criminal record, if any, 4 reputation, habits and associations do not pose a threat to the public interest of this State or to the effective regulation and 5 control of gaming or charitable lotteries, or create or enhance the dangers of unsuitable, unfair or illegal practices, methods and 6 activities in the conduct of gaming or charitable lotteries or in the carrying on of the business and financial arrangements 7 incidental thereto; and (c) In all other respects qualified to be licensed or found suitable 8 consistently with the declared policy of the State. 3. A license to operate a gaming establishment or an inter-casino 9 linked system must not be granted unless the applicant has satisfied the Commission that: 10 (a) The applicant has adequate business probity, competence and experience, in gaming or generally; and 11 (b) The proposed financing of the entire operation is: (1) Adequate for the nature of the proposed operation; and 12 (2) From a suitable source. Any lender or other source of money or credit which the 13 Commission finds does not meet the standards set forth in subsection 2 may be deemed unsuitable. 14 4. An application to receive a license or be found suitable constitutes a request for a determination of the applicant's 15 general character, integrity, and ability to participate or engage in, or be associated with gaming or the operation of a charitable 16 lottery, as appropriate. Any written or oral statement made in the course of an official proceeding of the Board or Commission 17 by any member thereof or any witness testifying under oath which is relevant to the purpose of the proceeding is absolutely 18 privileged and does not impose liability for defamation or constitute a ground for recovery in any civil action. 19 5. The Commission may in its discretion grant a license to: (a) A publicly traded corporation which has complied with the 20 provisions of NRS 463.625 to 463.643, inclusive; (b) Any other corporation which has complied with the provisions 21 of NRS 463.490 to 463.530, inclusive; (c) A limited partnership which has complied with the provisions 22 of NRS 463.564 to 463.571, inclusive; and (d) A limited-liability company which has complied with the 23 provisions of NRS 463.5731 to 463.5737, inclusive. 6. No limited partnership, except one whose sole limited partner 24is a publicly traded corporation which has registered with the Commission, or a limited-liability company, or business trust or 25 organization or other association of a quasi-corporate character is eligible to receive or hold any license under this chapter unless 26 all persons having any direct or indirect interest therein of any whatever, whether financial, administrative, 27 policymaking or supervisory, are individually qualified to be licensed under the provisions of this chapter. 28

7 8

6

9 10

11

12

13 14

16

17

15

18 19

20 21

23 24

22

25

26 27

28

10. Failure to conduct gaming operations in accordance with proper standards of custom, decorum and decency, or permit any type of conduct in the gaming establishment which reflects or tends to reflect on the repute of the State of Nevada and act as a detriment to the gaming industry.

Nev. Gaming Comm'n Reg. 5.011 (1), and (10).

13. Nevada Gaming Commission Regulation 5.030 provides as follows:

Violation of any provision of the Nevada Gaming Control Act or of these regulations by a licensee, the licensee's agent or employee shall be deemed contrary to the public health, safety, morals, good order and general welfare of the inhabitants of the State of Nevada and grounds for suspension or revocation of a license. Acceptance of a state gaming license or renewal thereof by a licensee constitutes an agreement on the part of the licensee to be bound by all of the regulations of the Commission as the same now are or may hereafter be amended or promulgated. It is the responsibility of the licensee to keep informed of the content of all such regulations, and ignorance thereof will not excuse violations.

Nev. Gaming Comm'n Reg. 5.030 (emphasis added).

BACKGROUND

- 14. On or about January 26, 2018, the Wall Street Journal published an article with the headline "Dozens of People Recount Pattern of Sexual Misconduct by Las Vegas Mogul Steve Wynn" (WSJ Article).
- 15. The WSJ Article commenced with a description of allegations taking place in 2005 regarding Stephen Alan Wynn, former RESORTS Chief Executive Officer, and a manicurist who worked for WYNN. The description of allegations contained in the WSJ Article included that Mr. Wynn forced the manicurist to have sex with him. The description of allegations contained in the WSJ Article included that the manicurist's supervisor learned of the allegations and "filed a detailed report to the casino's human-resources department recounting the episode." The WSJ Article stated, "according to people familiar with the matter," Mr. Wynn paid a \$7.5 million settlement to the manicurist based on the allegations.
- 16. The WSJ Article stated Mr. Wynn's behavior went beyond the manicurist incident: "dozens of people The Wall Street Journal interviewed who have worked at Mr.

Wynn's casinos told of behavior that cumulatively would amount to a decades-long pattern of sexual misconduct by Mr. Wynn."

- 17. The WSJ Article went on to describe further sexual incidents alleged against Mr. Wynn and how employees were aware of Mr. Wynn's power in Las Vegas and worried about how this power could impact their ability to work elsewhere.
- 18. Immediately upon learning of the allegations raised against Mr. Wynn in the WSJ article, the BOARD launched a thorough and extensive investigation of RESPONDENTS and Mr. Wynn.
- 19. The BOARD set up an online portal through which members of the public could submit information to assist the BOARD.
- 20. The BOARD interviewed multiple individuals, including accusers, witnesses, current and former employees of RESPONDENTS, current and former members of RESPONDENTS' management, current and former directors and officers of RESPONDENTS.
- 21. The BOARD reviewed relevant documentation, including, but not limited to: personnel and other records of RESPONDENTS; court records; claims filed by employees of RESPONDENTS with the United States Equal Employment Opportunity Commission (EEOC) and the Nevada Equal Rights Commission; settlement agreements entered into between Mr. Wynn and employees or former employees of RESPONDENTS; information provided by RESORTS; administrative records of other government agencies; records provided by witnesses; and minutes of meetings of the Board of Directors and of the Special Committee.
- 22. Through its investigation, the BOARD discovered multiple allegations of sexual misconduct and/or sexual harassment against Mr. Wynn.
- 23. Mr. Wynn, at all times relevant to this Complaint, was found suitable as the controlling shareholder of RESORTS, found suitable as a shareholder of RESORTS, found suitable as the Chairman of the Board of RESORTS, and found suitable as the Chief Executive Officer of RESORTS.

- 24. On or about February 6, 2018, Mr. Wynn resigned from all positions held with RESPONDENTS.
- 25. On or before March 28, 2018, Mr. Wynn transferred all ownership interests he held in RESPONDENTS. Mr. Wynn presently does not hold any ownership interests in RESPONDENTS.
- 26. The BOARD has placed administrative holds on all Nevada Gaming Commission approvals of Mr. Wynn.
- 27. During all times relevant to this Complaint, RESPONDENTS maintained a policy concerning sexual harassment. RESPONDENTS' harassment policy was "to prohibit any conduct, whether intentional or unintentional which results in the harassment or discrimination of employees" RESPONDENTS' harassment policy specifically defined one type of harassment to be sexual harassment.
- 28. RESPONDENTS' harassment policy defined sexual harassment as "any unwelcomed sexual advances, request for sexual favors, or other conduct of a sexual nature either verbal or physical"
- 29. RESPONDENTS' harassment policy set out that an employee who experiences or witnesses sexual harassment "should immediately report the conduct to: 1. The Employee Relations Department; 2. The Vice President of Human Resources, the Legal department, or your particular Divisional Vice President; 3. Any other member of management with whom [the employee] feel[s] comfortable."
- 30. RESPONDENTS' harassment policy set out that supervisors who observe or become aware of harassment must immediately report such harassment "to the Employee Relations department and take appropriate steps to stop the offending behavior."
- 31. During all times relevant to this Complaint, RESPONDENTS maintained a personal relationships policy. This policy discouraged "romantic or intimate relationships involving a direct or indirect supervisory relationship between employees regardless of whether the relationship is voluntary and/or welcomed by both parties."

. . .

- 32. RESPONDENTS' personal relationships policy also set out "Department managers are responsible for conducting themselves in a professional manner and strictly maintaining professional relationships with their employees at all times."
- 33. During all times relevant to this Complaint, RESPONDENTS maintained a policy setting out how the Employee Relations Department (ER) should investigate alleged workplace conduct violations. Specifically:
 - 1. Obtain verbal and written statements from all parties involved, including the complainant and accused. 2. Take photographs/video of any injury or damage (if applicable). 3. Preserve all evidence, and secure the evidence in a locked location. Document all evidence obtained. 4. Determine if there is a potential for risk occurrence. If there is a potential, take all measures appropriate to protect employees. 5. Complete an investigation report and provide all relevant and necessary information, including findings.
- 34. RESPONDENTS' investigations policy also set out that the ER should make and document findings as "violation found," "no violation found," or "inconclusive investigation."

VIOLATION OF NRS 463.170 and/or NEVADA GAMING COMMISSION REGULATIONS 5.010 and/or 5.011

- 35. Complainant BOARD realleges and incorporates by reference as though set forth in full herein paragraphs 1 through 34 above.
- 36. In 2005, Employee 1, employed in the WYNN Salon, alleged to various individuals at the WYNN that she had been raped by Mr. Wynn and that she became pregnant as a result.
- 37. WYNN Salon management followed company policies and procedures by reporting Employee 1's allegations to WYNN Human Resources.
- 38. The following individuals learned about Employee 1's allegations at or around the time the allegations were made: Marc Schorr, former WYNN President and RESORTS Chief Operating Officer; Doreen Whennen, former WYNN Vice President of Hotel Operations; and Arte Nathan, former WYNN Senior Vice President and Chief Human Resources Officer.

- 39. Mr. Schorr, Ms. Whennen, and Mr. Nathan all failed to initiate an investigation into Employee 1's allegations of sexual misconduct in violation of RESPONDENTS' policies and procedures.
- 40. Mr. Wynn reached a private, confidential settlement with Employee 1 in which she and her husband were paid \$7.5 million through a separate legal entity funded personally by Mr. Wynn (2005 Settlement).
- 41. In January 2012, at the latest, Kimmarie Sinatra, former General Counsel, Secretary, and Senior Vice President for RESORTS, learned of the 2005 Settlement. By July 2017, at the latest, Ms. Sinatra learned that Employee 1 had alleged that Mr. Wynn raped her.
- 42. RESORTS did not conduct a timely investigation into Employee 1's allegations or into Mr. Wynn's admitted sexual relationship with a subordinate.
- 43. At least four (4) former executives of RESORTS and WYNN, failed to initiate and/or conduct an investigation after obtaining knowledge of allegations of sexual misconduct against Mr. Wynn as required by RESPONDENTS' policies and procedures.
- 44. The failures of RESPONDENTS, RESPONDENTS' former agents, and/or RESPONDENTS' former employees to initiate and/or conduct an investigation as described herein, in whole or in part, constitute violations of NRS 463.170(8) and/or Nevada Gaming Commission Regulations 5.010, 5.011(1) and/or 5.011(10).
- 45. Each separate occasion when RESPONDENTS, RESPONDENTS' former agents, and/or RESPONDENTS' former employees failed to initiate and/or conduct an investigation as described herein constitutes a separate violation of the Gaming Control Act and Regulations of the Commission, as herein specified, for purposes of NRS 463.310(4)(d)(2).
- 46. The failure of RESPONDENTS to comply with NRS 463.170(8) and/or Nevada Gaming Commission Regulations 5.010, 5.011(1) and/or 5.011(10) is an unsuitable method of operation and is grounds for disciplinary action against RESPONDENTS.

. . .

See NRS 463.1405(4), NRS 463.170(8), NRS 463.641, and Nev. Gaming Comm'n Regs. 3.080, 5.010(2), and 5.030.

VIOLATION OF NRS 463.170 and/or NEVADA GAMING COMMISSION REGULATIONS 5.010 and/or 5.011

- 47. Complainant BOARD realleges and incorporates by reference as though set forth in full herein paragraphs 1 through 46 above.
- 48. Employee 2, a cocktail server at WYNN, alleged that Mr. Wynn pressured her into a nonconsensual sexual relationship that lasted from 2005 through her departure from RESORTS in 2006. Mr. Wynn entered into a private settlement with Employee 2 and her parents in the amount of \$975,000 on December 6, 2006 (2006 Settlement).
- 49. Marc Schorr, former WYNN President and RESORTS Chief Operating Officer, Arte Nathan, former WYNN Senior Vice President and Chief Human Resources Officer, and Kevin Tourek, former WYNN General Counsel, knew about Employee 2's allegations of sexual misconduct against Mr. Wynn in 2006.
- 50. Mr. Schorr, Mr. Nathan, and Mr. Tourek all failed to initiate an ER investigation into Employee 2's allegations of sexual misconduct in violation of RESPONDENTS' policies and procedures.
- 51. At least three (3) former executives of RESORTS and WYNN, failed to initiate and/or conduct an investigation after obtaining knowledge of allegations of sexual misconduct against Mr. Wynn as required by RESPONDENTS' policies and procedures.
- 52. The failures of RESPONDENTS, RESPONDENTS' former agents, and/or RESPONDENTS' former employees to initiate and/or conduct an investigation as described herein, in whole or in part, constitute violations of NRS 463.170(8) and/or Nevada Gaming Commission Regulations 5.010, 5.011(1) and 5.011(10).
- 53. Each separate occasion when RESPONDENTS, RESPONDENTS' former agents, and/or RESPONDENTS' former employees failed to initiate and/or conduct an investigation as described herein constitutes a separate violation of the Gaming Control

Act and Regulations of the Commission, as herein specified, for purposes of NRS 463.310(4)(d)(2).

54. The failure of RESPONDENTS to comply with NRS 463.170(8) and Nevada Gaming Commission Regulations 5.011, 5.011(1) and 5.011(10) is an unsuitable method of operation and is grounds for disciplinary action against RESPONDENTS. See NRS 463.1405(4), NRS 463.170(8), NRS 463.641, and Nev. Gaming Comm'n Regs. 3.080, 5.010(2), and 5.030.

VIOLATION OF NRS 463.170 and/or NEVADA GAMING COMMISSION REGULATIONS 5.010 and/or 5.011

- 55. Complainant BOARD realleges and incorporates by reference as though set forth in full herein paragraphs 1 through 54 above.
- 56. In 2014, Employee 3, a former WYNN Las Vegas cocktail server and flight attendant, alleged that Mr. Wynn engaged in sexual misconduct against her in 2005.
- 57. Kevin Tourek, former WYNN General Counsel, and Maurice Wooden, former WYNN President, were aware of the allegations of sexual misconduct made against Mr. Wynn by Employee 3.
- 58. Mr. Tourek and Mr. Wooden both failed to initiate an ER investigation into Employee 3's allegations of sexual misconduct in violation of RESORTS policies and procedures.
- 59. At least two (2) former executives of WYNN, failed to initiate and/or conduct an investigation after obtaining knowledge of allegations of sexual misconduct against Mr. Wynn as required by RESPONDENTS' policies and procedures.
- 60. The failures of RESPONDENTS, RESPONDENTS' former agents, and/or RESPONDENTS' former employees to initiate and/or conduct an investigation as described herein, in whole or in part, constitute violations of NRS 463.170(8) and/or Nevada Gaming Commission Regulations 5.010, 5.011(1) and 5.011(10).
- 61. Each separate occasion when RESPONDENTS, RESPONDENTS' former agents, and/or RESPONDENTS' former employees failed to conduct and/or initiate an

investigation as described herein constitutes a separate violation of the Gaming Control Act and Regulations of the Commission, as herein specified, for purposes of NRS 463.310(4)(d)(2).

62. The failure of RESPONDENTS to comply with NRS 463.170(8) and Nevada Gaming Commission Regulations 5.011, 5.011(1) and 5.011(10) is an unsuitable method of operation and is grounds for disciplinary action against RESPONDENTS. See NRS 463.1405(4), NRS 463.170(8), NRS 463.641, and Nev. Gaming Comm'n Regs. 3.080, 5.010(2), and 5.030.

<u>COUNT FOUR</u> <u>VIOLATION OF NRS 463.170 and/or NEVADA GAMING COMMISSION</u> REGULATIONS 5.010 and/or 5.011

- 63. Complainant BOARD realleges and incorporates by reference as though set forth in full herein paragraphs 1 through 62 above.
- 64. Employee 4, Employee 5, and Employee 6, each of whom worked at WYNN's Encore Spa at all times relevant to this Complaint, made allegations that Mr. Wynn had engaged in sexual harassment during massages that were performed on him in 2014.
- 65. Employee 4, Employee 5, and Employee 6, reported some or all of the alleged sexual harassment by Mr. Wynn to RESPONDENTS' management, and those allegations were communicated among other members of RESPONDENTS' management, but no one in RESPONDENTS' management reported the allegations to ER, or otherwise ensured that the allegations had been reported thereto, as would have been required by RESPONDENTS' policies and procedures in effect at the time, so ER could conduct an investigation into the allegations.
- 66. Several individuals, including managers and executives of RESPONDENTS, became aware of some or all of the allegations of sexual harassment by Mr. Wynn made by Employee 4, Employee 5, and/or Employee 6 but did not report the allegations to ER, or otherwise ensure that the allegations had been reported thereto, as would have been required by RESPONDENTS' policies and procedures in effect at the time, so ER could conduct an investigation into the allegations.

67. The failure of RESPONDENTS, RESPONDENTS' agents, and/or RESPONDENTS' employees to report and/or investigate each instance of sexual harassment by Mr. Wynn alleged by Employee 4, Employee 5, and/or Employee 6, in whole or in part, constitutes a violation of NRS 463.170(8) and/or Nevada Gaming Commission Regulations 5.010, 5.011(1), and/or 5.011(10).

68. Each occasion where RESPONDENTS, RESPONDENTS' agents, and/or RESPONDENTS' employees failed to report an allegation of sexual harassment by Mr. Wynn made by Employee 4, Employee 5, and/or Employee 6 to ER, and/or failed to initiate and/or conduct an investigation into each allegation, constitutes a separate violation of the Gaming Control Act and the regulations adopted thereunder, as herein specified, for purposes of NRS 463.310(4)(d)(2).

69. The failure of RESPONDENTS to comply with NRS 463.170(8) and/or Nevada Gaming Commission Regulations 5.010, 5.011, 5.011(1), and/or 5.011(10) constitutes an unsuitable method of operation and provides grounds for disciplinary action against RESPONDENTS. See NRS 463.1405(4), NRS 463.170(8), NRS 463.341, and Nev. Gaming Comm'n Regs. 3.080, 5.010(2), and 5.030.

VIOLATION OF NRS 463.170 and/or NEVADA GAMING COMMISSION REGULATIONS 5.010 and/or 5.011

70. Complainant BOARD realleges and incorporates by reference as though set forth in full herein paragraphs 1 through 69 above.

71. Employee 7, who was a flight attendant with LV Jet, LLC (LV Jet), a wholly owned subsidiary of RESORTS, at all times relevant to this Complaint, submitted written correspondence to Mr. Wynn dated October 27, 2016, in which she made allegations that Mr. Wynn engaged in sexual harassment with multiple LV Jet flight attendants.

72. Kimmarie Sinatra, former RESORTS General Counsel, Secretary, and Executive Vice President, was made aware of Employee 7's allegations of multiple instances of sexual harassment by Mr. Wynn related to LV Jet flight attendants through the above-referenced written correspondence, which was forwarded to Ms. Sinatra by Mr.

Wynn's personal assistant. Ms. Sinatra, however, did not report the allegations to ER, or otherwise ensure that the allegations had been reported thereto, as would have been required by RESPONDENTS' policies and procedures in effect at the time, so ER could conduct an investigation into the allegations.

73. Stacie Michaels, former WYNN General Counsel, was made aware of Employee 7's allegations of multiple instances of sexual harassment by Mr. Wynn related to LV Jet flight attendants through the above-referenced written correspondence, which was forwarded to Ms. Michaels by Mr. Wynn's personal assistant. Ms. Michaels, however, did not report the allegations to ER, or otherwise ensure that the allegations had been reported thereto, as would have been required by RESPONDENTS' policies and procedures in effect at the time, so ER could conduct an investigation into the allegations.

74. The failure of RESPONDENTS, RESPONDENTS' former agents, and/or RESPONDENTS' former employees to report and/or investigate each instance of sexual harassment of Mr. Wynn alleged by Employee 7 in her written correspondence dated October 27, 2016 referenced above, in whole or in part, constitutes a violation of NRS 463.170(8) and/or Nevada Gaming Commission Regulations 5.010, 5.011(1), and/or 5.011(10).

75. Each occasion where RESPONDENTS, RESPONDENTS' former agents, and/or RESPONDENTS' former employees failed to report an allegation of sexual harassment by Mr. Wynn made by Employee 7 in her written correspondence dated October 27, 2016 referenced above to ER, and/or failed to initiate and/or conduct an investigation into each allegation, constitutes a separate violation of the Gaming Control Act and the regulations adopted thereunder, as herein specified, for purposes of NRS 463.310(4)(d)(2).

76. The failure of RESPONDENTS to comply with NRS 463.170(8) and/or Nevada Gaming Commission Regulations 5.010, 5.011, 5.011(1), and/or 5.011(10) constitutes an unsuitable method of operation and provides grounds for disciplinary action against RESPONDENTS. See NRS 463.1405(4), NRS 463.170(8), NRS 463.341, and Nev. Gaming Comm'n Regs. 3.080, 5.010(2), and 5.030.

VIOLATION OF NRS 463.170 and/or NEVADA GAMING COMMISSION REGULATIONS 5.010 and/or 5.011

- 77. Complainant BOARD realleges and incorporates by reference as though set forth in full herein paragraphs 1 through 76 above.
- 78. Multiple allegations were made by various individuals that Employee 8 had facilitated sexual relationships between cocktail servers at WYNN and Mr. Wynn and/or guests of WYNN.
- 79. Arte Nathan, during his tenure as WYNN Senior Vice President and Chief Human Resources Officer, was aware of rumors that Employee 8 was facilitating the sexual relationships referred to above. Mr. Nathan, however, did not investigate the rumors, or otherwise ensure that the rumors had been reported thereto, as would have been required by RESPONDENTS' policies and procedures in effect at the time, so ER could conduct an investigation into the rumors.
- 80. The failure of RESPONDENTS, RESPONDENTS' former agents, and/or RESPONDENTS' former employees to report and/or investigate the allegations and/or rumors that Employee 8 was facilitating the sexual relationships referred to above, in whole or in part, constitutes a violation of NRS 463.170(8) and/or Nevada Gaming Commission Regulations 5.010, 5.011(1), and/or 5.011(10).
- 81. Each occasion where RESPONDENTS, RESPONDENTS' former agents, and/or RESPONDENTS' former employees failed to report an allegation and/or rumor regarding the misconduct of Employee 8 referred to above, to ER, and/or failed to initiate and/or conduct an investigation into each allegation and/or rumor, constitutes a separate violation of the Gaming Control Act and the regulations adopted thereunder, as herein specified, for purposes of NRS 463.310(4)(d)(2).
- 82. The failure of RESPONDENTS to comply with NRS 463.170(8) and/or Nevada Gaming Commission Regulations 5.010, 5.011, 5.011(1), and/or 5.011(10) constitutes an unsuitable method of operation and provides grounds for disciplinary action against

 $\frac{23}{24}$

RESPONDENTS. See NRS 463.1405(4), NRS 463.170(8), NRS 463.341, and Nev. Gaming Comm'n Regs. 3.080, 5.010(2), and 5.030.

<u>COUNT SEVEN</u> <u>VIOLATION OF NRS 463.170 and/or NEVADA GAMING COMMISSION</u> REGULATIONS 5.010 and/or 5.011

- 83. Complainant BOARD realleges and incorporates by reference as though set forth in full herein paragraphs 1 through 87 above.
- 84. In 2007, Kevin Tourek, former WYNN General Counsel, received an e-mail alleging a former executive "loves sleeping with cocktail servers."
- 85. Mr. Tourek did not report this allegation to ER or initiate and/or conduct an investigation after receiving the e-mail alleging the former executive's conduct with cocktail servers.
- 86. The failures of RESPONDENTS, RESPONDENTS' former agents, and/or RESPONDENTS' former employees to comply with RESPONDENTS' policies and procedures and failing to initiate and/or conduct an investigation as described herein, in whole or in part, constitute violations of NRS 463.170(8) and/or Nevada Gaming Commission Regulations 5.010, 5.011(1) and/or 5.011(10).
- 87. Each separate occasion when RESPONDENTS, RESPONDENTS' former agents, and/or RESPONDENTS' former employees failed to comply with RESPONDENTS' policies and procedures and failed to initiate and/or conduct an investigation as described herein constitutes a separate violation of the Gaming Control Act and Regulations of the Commission, as herein specified, for purposes of NRS 463.310(4)(d)(2).
- 88. The failure of RESPONDENTS to comply with NRS 463.170(8) and/or Nevada Gaming Commission Regulations 5.010 and/or 5.011 is an unsuitable method of operation and is grounds for disciplinary action against RESPONDENTS. See NRS 463.1405(4), NRS 463.170(8), NRS 463.641, and Nev. Gaming Comm'n Regs. 3.080, 5.010(2), and 5.030.

. . .

4 5

7

8

0

6

	J	
1	0	

12

11

13 14

15 16

17 18

19

20

21 22

23 24

25

26 27

28

COUNT EIGHT VIOLATION OF NRS 463.170 and/or NEVADA GAMING COMMISSION REGULATIONS 5.010 and/or 5.011

- 89. Complainant BOARD realleges and incorporates by reference as though set forth in full herein paragraphs 1 through 88 above.
- 90. RESPONDENTS' policies and procedures requiring employee attendance at annual compliance training were not applied to Mr. Wynn.
- 91. RESPONDENTS' policies and procedures pertaining to WYNN spas were not applied to Mr. Wynn.
- RESPONDENTS' policies and procedures pertaining to conflicts of interest 92. were not followed for several settlements, including, but not limited to, the 2005 Settlement, and the 2006 Settlement.
- The ability of former WYNN executives to operate outside of Company policies 93. and procedures, contributed to the internal control breakdowns that occurred in relation to allegations of misconduct as described in this Complaint.
- RESPONDENTS' failure to ensure compliance with RESPONDENTS' policies and procedures, as described herein, in whole or in part, constitutes a violation of NRS 463.170(8) and/or Nevada Gaming Commission Regulations 5.010, 5.011(1) and/or 5.011(10).
- Each separate occasion when RESPONDENTS, RESPONDENTS' former agents, and/or RESPONDENTS' former employees failed to ensure compliance with RESPONDENTS' policies and procedures, as described herein, constitutes a separate violation of the Gaming Control Act and Regulations of the Commission, as herein specified, for purposes of NRS 463.310(4)(d)(2).
- The failure of RESPONDENTS to comply with NRS 463.170(8) and/or Nevada Gaming Commission Regulations 5.010, 5.011(1) and/or 5.011(10) is an unsuitable method of operation and is grounds for disciplinary action against RESPONDENTS. See NRS 463.1405(4), NRS 463.170(8), NRS 463.641, and Nev. Gaming Comm'n Regs. 3.080, 5.010(2), and 5.030.

VIOLATION OF NRS 463.170 and/or NEVADA GAMING COMMISSION REGULATIONS 5.010 and/or 5.011

- 97. Complainant BOARD realleges and incorporates by reference as though set forth in full herein paragraphs 1 through 96 above.
- 98. At all times relevant to this Complaint, RESPONDENTS maintained a policy on personal relationships between employees that "discourage[d] romantic or intimate relationships involving a direct or indirect supervisory relationship between employees regardless of whether the relationship is voluntary and/or welcomed by both parties."
- 99. At all times relevant to this Complaint, RESPONDENTS maintained a policy for harassment and discrimination that stated that "all employees are responsible for maintaining a professional work environment by treating everyone . . . with respect and by being aware of their own behavior toward others in the work place."
- 100. Mr. Wynn, while Chairman of the Board of Directors and Chief Executive Officer of RESORTS, engaged in intimate and sexual conduct with WYNN employees.
- 101. Mr. Wynn failed to comply with RESPONDENTS' policies that he maintain a professional work environment and/or failed to comply with the spirit of RESPONDENTS' policies that discouraged intimate relationships between himself and employees.
- 102. Regardless of whether the conduct of Mr. Wynn, as described herein, expressly violated any of RESPONDENTS' policies, such conduct is inappropriate and unsuitable given the position of Mr. Wynn as head of RESORTS and WYNN and given the inherent disparity in power between himself and non-management employees.
- 103. RESPONDENTS are ultimately responsible for the actions of their agents and employees. See Nev. Gaming Comm'n Reg. 5.030.
- 104. RESPONDENTS failed to ensure that Mr. Wynn conducted himself in accordance with RESPONDENTS' policies and procedures and/or failed to ensure that Mr. Wynn conducted himself in an appropriate and suitable manner.
- 105. RESPONDENTS' failures, as described herein, resulted in negative reporting in widely disseminated media outlets, including, but not limited to, the Wall Street Journal.

106. RESPONDENTS' failures, as described herein, in whole or in part, constitute violations of NRS 463.170(8) and/or Nevada Gaming Commission Regulations 5.010, 5.011(1) and/or 5.011(10).

107. The failure of RESPONDENTS to comply with NRS 463.170(8) and Nevada Gaming Commission Regulations 5.011, 5.011(1) and/or 5.011(10) is an unsuitable method of operation and is grounds for disciplinary action against RESPONDENTS. See NRS 463.1405(4), NRS 463.170(8), NRS 463.641, and Nev. Gaming Comm'n Regs. 3.080, 5.010(2), and 5.030.

VIOLATION OF NRS 463.170 and/or NEVADA GAMING COMMISSION REGULATIONS 5.010 and/or 5.011

- 108. Complainant BOARD realleges and incorporates by reference as though set forth in full herein paragraphs 1 through 107 above.
- 109. RESPONDENTS did not enforce their policies and procedures with regard to their executives and other high level employees following the reporting procedures for sexual harassment and related matters.
- 110. RESPONDENTS' past failures to enforce its policies and procedures have led to multiple instances, a number of which are set out in this Complaint, where sexual harassment allegations concerning RESPONDENTS' executives were not investigated.
- 111. RESPONDENTS' past failures to enforce its policies and procedures have led to multiple instances where allegations of sexual harassment by executives of RESPONDENTS were not appropriately addressed by RESPONDENTS.
- 112. RESPONDENTS' past failures to appropriately address allegations of sexual harassment by executives and high level employees of RESPONDENTS resulted in negative articles published in widely disseminated media publications, including, but not limited to, the Wall Street Journal.
- 113. Thus, the BOARD, in order to protect gaming in the State of Nevada; to protect the welfare of the gaming industry; to protect the welfare of the inhabitants of the State of Nevada, including gaming industry employees; and to attempt to mitigate the discredit

28 || -

caused by RESPONDENTS to the State of Nevada and the gaming industry, has had to file this Complaint.

114. By itself and/or in conjunction with the actions contained in the other counts of this Complaint, the failures of RESPONDENTS to ensure RESPONDENTS' policies and procedures were followed by and enforced against RESPONDENTS' executives and high level employees reflect or tend to reflect poorly on the reputation of gaming in the State of Nevada and/or acts as a detriment to the development of the gaming industry and/or reflect or tend to reflect discredit upon the State of Nevada or the gaming industry.

115. The past failures of RESPONDENTS to follow and enforce RESPONDENTS' policies and procedures as described herein, in whole or in part, constitute violations of NRS 463.170(8) and/or Nevada Gaming Commission Regulations 5.010 and/or 5.011.

116. The past failures of RESPONDENTS to comply with NRS 463.170(8) and/or Nevada Gaming Commission Regulations 5.010 and/or 5.011 is an unsuitable method of operation and is grounds for disciplinary action against RESPONDENTS. See NRS 463.1405(4), NRS 463.170(8), NRS 463.641, and Nev. Gaming Comm'n Regs. 3.080, 5.010(2), and 5.030.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, based upon the allegations contained herein which constitute reasonable cause for disciplinary action against RESPONDENTS, pursuant to NRS 463.310 and Nevada Gaming Commission Regulations 5.010 and 5.030 the NEVADA GAMING CONTROL BOARD prays for the relief as follows:

- 1. That the Nevada Gaming Commission serve a copy of this Complaint on RESPONDENTS pursuant to NRS 463.312(2);
- 2. That the Nevada Gaming Commission fine RESPONDENTS a monetary sum pursuant to the parameters defined at NRS 463.310(4) for each separate violation of the provisions of the Nevada Gaming Control Act or the Regulations of the Nevada Gaming Commission;

1	3. That the Nevada Gaming Commission take action against RESPONDENTS'
2	license or licenses, registration or registrations, and finding of suitability or findings of
3	suitability pursuant to the parameters defined in NRS 463.310(4); and
4	4. For such other and further relief as the Nevada Gaming Commission may deem
5	just and proper.
6	DATED this 25th day of January, 2019.
7	~
8	NEVADA GAMING CONTROL BOARD
9	
10	BECKY HARRIS, Chairwoman
11	Adu Phil
12	SHAWN R. REID, Member
13	JOMMA HA MOSA
14	TERRY JOHNSON, Member
15	
16	Submitted by:
17	AARON D. FORD Attorney General
18	
19	By: JOHN S. MICHELA (Bar No. 8189)
20	Senior Deputy Attorney General MICHAEL P. SOMPS (Bar No. 6507)
21	Senior Deputy Attorney General EDWARD L. MAGAW (Bar No. 9111)
22	Deputy Attorney General
23	Gaming Division Attorney General's Office
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	