3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

NGC 13-03

RECEIVED/FILED MAR 1 1 2014 **NEVADA GAMING COMMISSION** CARSON CITY, NEVADA

STATE OF NEVADA

BEFORE THE NEVADA GAMING COMMISSION

STATE GAMING CONTROL BOARD. COMPLAINANT,

VS.

MANDALAY CORP., dba MANDALAY BAY RESORT & CASINO.

RESPONDENT.

STIPULATION FOR SETTLEMENT AND ORDER

11

12

13

Attorney General's Office Gaming Division 555 E. Washington Avc., Ste. 3900 Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 14 15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22 23

24

25 26

27

28

The State of Nevada, on relation of its GAMING CONTROL BOARD ("BOARD"), COMPLAINANT herein, filed and served a Complaint in NGC Case No. 13-03, against MANDALAY CORP., dba MANDALAY BAY RESORT & CASINO ("MANDALAY BAY"), RESPONDENT herein, alleging certain violations of the Nevada Gaming Control Act and Regulations of the Nevada Gaming Commission.

IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED to by the BOARD and the RESPONDENT that the Complaint, NGC Case No. 13-03, filed against the RESPONDENT in the aboveentitled case shall be settled on the following terms and conditions:

- The RESPONDENT does not contest any of the allegations of fact set forth in the Complaint, NGC Case No. 13-03, and does not contest any conclusion set forth therein.
- 2. The RESPONDENT fully understands and voluntarily waives the right to a public hearing on the charges and allegations set forth in the Complaint, the right to present and cross-examine witnesses, the right to a written decision on the merits of the Complaint, which must contain findings of fact and a determination of the issues presented, and the right to obtain judicial review of the Nevada Gaming Commission's decision.
- The RESPONDENT agrees to pay a fine in the amount of FIVE HUNDRED. THOUSAND AND 00/100 DOLLARS (\$500,000.00) electronically transferred to the State of Nevada-Nevada Gaming Commission on or before the date this Stipulation for Settlement and Order (also referred to as "Stipulation for Settlement") is accepted by the Nevada Gaming

Commission. Said payment shall be made by a method of electronic payment approved by the Tax and License Division of the COMPLAINANT pursuant to NRS 353.1467. Interest on the fine shall accrue pursuant to NRS 17.130 on any unpaid balance computed from the date the payment is due until the payment is made in full.

- 4. The RESPONDENT further agrees to pay an additional amount of SEVENTEEN THOUSAND AND 00/100 DOLLARS (\$17,000.00) electronically transferred to the *State of Nevada-Nevada Gaming Commission* on or before the date this Stipulation for Settlement is accepted by the Nevada Gaming Commission. Said payment shall be made by a method of electronic payment approved by the Tax and License Division of the COMPLAINANT pursuant to NRS 353.1467. Interest on that payment shall accrue pursuant to NRS 17.130 on any unpaid balance computed from the date the payment is due until the payment is made in full. This payment represents the reimbursement of expenses incurred by the COMPLAINANT in conducting the investigation resulting in the Complaint, NGC Case No. 13-03.
- 5. To assist the Nevada Gaming Commission in its evaluation of the matters encompassed by this stipulated settlement agreement, the RESPONDENT offers the following:
- a. The House of Blues Foundation Room is an upscale restaurant and ultra lounge facility located on the top level of MANDALAY BAY. RESPONDENT is the landlord who leases the premises to the tenant and operator, House of Blues Las Vegas Restaurant Corp. ("House of Blues" or "HOB"), a subsidiary of Live Nation, Inc., a publicly traded company.
- b. Both RESPONDENT and MGM Resorts International ("MGM"), RESPONDENT's ultimate parent company, have historically been proactive in ensuring that the nightclubs and ultra lounges located at MGM properties have been operated appropriately. For instance, since 2008, MGM's Internal Audit Department ("Internal Audit") has performed semi-annual reviews of operations at MGM-located nightclubs, whether operated by the licensee or a third party. These reviews include testing for security procedures and training programs directed towards prohibiting the presence of drugs, lewd behavior, and prostitution. Additionally, on a regular basis, Internal Audit conducts unannounced observations and issues reports and

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

requires management responses when warranted. Through January 15, 2014, Internal Audit (along with outside investigative agencies hired by MGM) has conducted 133 reviews of operations at MGM-located nightclubs and lounges. Since 2010, nightclubs and ultra lounges have been required to adhere to a comprehensive nightclub and ultra lounge minimum best practice policy (the "Nightclub Procedures"). In 2012, MGM also hired two former Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department officers to conduct true covert observations. While there was a lapse in those covert observations while the former officers pursued their own business, since May 2013, as both employees and as independent licensed investigators, they, and another investigative firm, have conducted 34 undercover observations of 14 nightclubs, three dayclub pools, three lounges and 14 bars. Additionally, the MGM Audit and Compliance Committees are briefed on and discuss nightclub issues on a routine basis. MGM representatives have also routinely and consistently coordinated with the BOARD and with the Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department to monitor activities at MGM-located nightclubs and ultra lounges.

- c. RESPONDENT was not aware of the illegal or inappropriate activities at the House of Blues Foundation Room only because it did not consider the House of Blues Foundation Room a venue at which illegal or inappropriate activities were likely to occur. MGM has focused its compliance efforts on nightclubs which it determined created an environment for the greatest risk of inappropriate or illegal activities based upon the history of the club and its customer mix. MGM determined that venues at which thousands of young adults congregated created the greatest risk of inappropriate behavior. There were only 22 total security incident reports from the House of Blues Foundation Room in 2012, none of which involved allegations of wrong-doing such as drug use or prostitution and none of which involved over-intoxication or other "typical" incidents that one expects from a property not sufficiently secure.
- d. The House of Blues Foundation Room is not a nightclub. It is a small club, generally catering to a more mature clientele. Almost half of its annual revenue comes from operation of the dining room. It is small, less than 9,000 square feet of public space.

- e. RESPONDENT has required House of Blues Foundation Room security and host personnel to attend a property-facilitated security training program each year since 2008.
- f. None of the activities alleged in COMPLAINT involved RESPONDENT's employees, and many of the activities identified in the COMPLAINT were undertaken by employees or agents of a third-party contractor retained by the House of Blues Foundation Room to market and to assist in the operation of the venue.
- g. MGM began a full-scale investigation of activities at the House of Blues Foundation Room immediately upon being advised of the allegations in the COMPLAINT, and it required the House of Blues Foundation Room to agree to significant remediation of its internal policies and procedures governing operations at the House of Blues Foundation Room. RESPONDENT required significant amendments to its lease with House of Blues Las Vegas Restaurant Corp., the tenant, to more specifically outline the expected operation of the location, including that the House of Blues Foundation Room must obtain MGM's approval before it enters into any contract with a third-party contractor where such contract proposes to delegate any of House of Blues Foundation Room's responsibilities for compliance or other legal requirements or proposes for such third party to perform or assist House of Blues Foundation Room's employees or patrons. The amendments also require that House of Blues Foundation Room employees and employees of such third-party contractors must undergo an MGM background check.
- h. Although MGM had not identified the House of Blues Foundation Room as a high-risk environment, it had scheduled a covert observation of activities which was temporarily delayed when the individuals who were to have conducted the covert operation left MGM's employ. MGM has conducted three (3) covert operations at the House of Blues Foundation Room since the RESPONDENT was advised of the allegations in the COMPLAINT.
- i. The House of Blues Foundation Room itself took these significant remedial actions upon being advised of the allegations in the COMPLAINT to improve applicable

policies and procedures and to communicate those policies and procedures to the appropriate employees, supervisors and executives:

- 1) Immediately commenced a comprehensive internal investigation and conducted undercover operations to determine which of its employees and the employees of its third-party contractor may have engaged in the illegal and inappropriate activities and terminated six (6) House of Blues Foundation Room employees and the contract with its third-party contractor;
- 2) Immediately initiated and completed an audit of historical compliance procedures and documents of the House of Blues Foundation Room including, but not limited to pre-employment drug testing and background checks, work cards, and alcohol training.
- 3) Implemented background checks on all current third-party contactors and committed to do so for any future agreements;
 - 4) Revised, updated and strengthened its Zero Tolerance policy document;
- 5) Completed in-depth Zero Tolerance training for all House of Blues Foundation Room employees and supervisors attended by RESPONDENT's security training officers and trained all other Las Vegas House of Blues employees on its Zero Tolerance Policy;
- 6) Established a continuing program for undercover investigations for all aspects of the Zero Tolerance Policy;
- 7) Met and are in further communication with Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department to strengthen its working relationship and to better anticipate future issues that are common to restaurant and lounge facilities in Las Vegas; and
 - 8) Retained additional senior security and compliance personnel.
- 6. In consideration for the execution of this settlement agreement, the RESPONDENT, for itself, its heirs, executors, administrators, successors, and assigns, hereby releases and forever discharges the State of Nevada, the Nevada Gaming Commission, the Nevada Gaming Control Board, the Nevada Attorney General and each of their members, agents, and employees in their individual and representative capacities, from any and all manner of actions, causes of action, suits, debts, judgments, executions, claims, and demands

whatsoever known or unknown, in law and equity, that the RESPONDENT ever had, now has, may have, or claims to have against any and all of the persons or entities named in this paragraph arising out of, or by reason of, the investigation of the allegations in the Complaint, this disciplinary action, NGC Case No. 13-03, or any other matter relating thereto.

- 7. In consideration for the execution of this settlement agreement, the RESPONDENT hereby indemnifies and holds harmless the State of Nevada, the Nevada Gaming Commission, the State Gaming Control Board, the Nevada Attorney General, and each of their members, agents, and employees in their individual and representative capacities against any and all claims, suits and actions, brought against the persons named in this paragraph by reason of the investigation of the allegations in the Complaint filed in this disciplinary action, NGC Case No. 13-03, and all other matters relating thereto, and against any and all expenses, damages, charges and costs, including court costs and attorney fees, which may be sustained by the persons and entities named in this paragraph as a result of said claims, suits and actions.
- 8. The RESPONDENT enters into this stipulation for settlement freely and voluntarily, and with the assistance of legal counsel. The RESPONDENT acknowledges that this stipulated settlement is not the product of force, threats, or any other form of coercion or duress, but is the product of discussions between legal counsel for the RESPONDENT and the attorney for the BOARD.
- 9. The RESPONDENT affirmatively represents that if the RESPONDENT, this Stipulation for Settlement and Order, and/or any amounts distributed under this Stipulation for Settlement and Order are subject to, or will become subject to, the jurisdiction of any bankruptcy court, the bankruptcy court's approval is not necessary for this Stipulation for Settlement and Order to become effective, or that the bankruptcy court has already approved this Stipulation for Settlement and Order.
- 10. The RESPONDENT and the BOARD acknowledge that this settlement is made to avoid litigation and economize resources. The parties agree and understand that this Stipulation for Settlement and Order is intended to operate as full and final settlement of the

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

- 11. The RESPONDENT and the BOARD recognize and agree that the Nevada Gaming Commission has the sole and absolute discretion to determine whether to accept this stipulated settlement agreement. The RESPONDENT and the BOARD hereby waive any right they may have to challenge the impartiality of the Nevada Gaming Commission to hear the above-entitled case on the matters embraced in the Complaint if the Nevada Gaming Commission determines not to accept this stipulated settlement agreement. If the Nevada Gaming Commission does not accept the Stipulation for Settlement and Order, it shall be withdrawn as null and void and the RESPONDENT's admissions, if any, that certain violations of the Nevada Gaming Control Act and the Regulations of the Nevada Gaming Commission occurred shall be withdrawn.
- 12. The RESPONDENT and the BOARD agree and understand that this settlement agreement is intended to operate as full and final settlement of the Complaint filed in NGC Case No. 13-03. The parties further agree and understand that any oral representations are superseded by this settlement agreement and that only those terms memorialized in writing herein shall be effective.
- 13. The RESPONDENT agrees and understands that although this settlement, if approved by the Nevada Gaming Commission, will settle the Complaint filed in NGC Case No. 13-03, the allegations contained in the Complaint filed in NGC Case No. 13-03 and the terms of this settlement agreement may be considered by the BOARD and/or the Nevada Gaming Commission with regards to any and all applications by the RESPONDENT that are currently pending before the BOARD or the Nevada Gaming Commission, or that are filed in the future with the BOARD.
- 14. Except as otherwise provided, the RESPONDENT and the BOARD shall each bear their own costs incurred in this disciplinary action, NGC Case No. 13-03.
- 15. By signing this Settlement Agreement, the RESPONDENT hereby waives the 21-day and the five-day notice requirements found under NRS 241.033 and 241.034, including the

Attorney General's Office Gaming Division 555 E. Washington Ave. 3800 Las Vegar, Nevada \$9101	1	content requirements of such notices, in relation to any hearing before the Nevada Gaming
	2	Commission on this Settlement Agreement that may be held.
	3	16. This stipulated settlement agreement shall become effective immediately upon
	4	approval by the Nevada Gaming Commission.
	5	Dated this 24day of Fesavary 2014. Dated this 310 day of Mirch 2014.
	6	MANDALAY CORP., dba STATE GAMING CONTROL BOARD
	7	MANDALAY BAY RESORT & CASINO
	8	By: A.G. BURNETT, Chairman
	9	President, Chief Operating Officer
	10	WHITTEMORE GAMING GROUP SHAWN R. REID, Member
	11	By: Ellen J. Whittemore Jerry Shinson
	12	ELLEN WHITTEMORE, ESQ. TERRY JOHNSON, Member
	13	1975 Village Center Circle Suite 140
	14	Las Vegas, Nevada 89134 Attorney for RESPONDENT
	15	Audiney for Reof ONDERT
	16	Submitted by:
	17	CATHERINE CORTEZ MASTO Attorney General
	18	
	19	By EDWARD L. MAGAW
	20	Deputy Attorney General Gaming Division
	21	Attorneys for State Gaming Control Board
	22	ORDER
	23	IT IS SO ORDERED in NGC Case No., 13-03.
	24	DATED this 20 day of Mark 2014.
	25	NEVADA GAMING COMMISSION
	26	Set ROD
	27	PETER C. BERNHARD, Chairman
	28	